



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/954,953	09/18/2001	Giorgio Minotti	LD0226(NP)	8528
759	00 04/16/2004		EXAM	INER
Marla J. Mathias			KHARE, DEVESH	
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Patent Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 4000			1623	
Princeton, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/954,953	MINOTTI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Devesh Khare	1623		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
THE - Extending - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) droperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed try (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>12 November 200</u> 3.			
′	•	☐ This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 15-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	□ accepted or b)□ objected to n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for D All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the application for the action for the attached detailed Office action for the action for the attached detailed Office action for the action for the attached detailed Office action for the	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1623

Applicant's remarks filed on 11/12/03 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-14 have been cancelled. Claims 15-17 are currently pending in this application.

The Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter of claims 15-17 in the office action dated 9/15/2003, is vacated because during the course of reconsideration of the application, a prior art reference not previously disclosed by the applicants or the examiner came to light (see rejection below).

35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bissery, U.S. Patent 5,908,835 in view of Loshak (XP-002222026: DG Dispatch-ECCO, Sept. 17,1999).

Claim 15 is drawn to a chemotherapeutic combination comprising 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol and doxorubicin. Claim 16 which depends from claim 15 requires the combination of claim 15 to be in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Bissery teaches the antitumor compositions comprising taxol derivatives in combination with an anthracycline antibiotic (see abstract). Bissery discloses taxol derivatives and an anthracycline antibiotic combination as the active agents for treating breast, ovarian and lung cancers (see col. 4, lines 46-51). Bissery also discloses the pharmaceutical acceptable carriers such as natural vegetable oils or liquid petroleum or

Art Unit: 1623

organic esters (col. 4, lines 21-24). The applicant's use of 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol is rendered obvious because the taxol, taxotere and their analogues and 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol have the same core structures (see applicant's figures 2 A, B and C) and the skilled artisan would expect these compounds to have similar properties to the compounds in the prior art. Bissery differs from the applicant's invention in that Bissery does not provide an explicit example of 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol and doxorubicin, however Bissery does provide motivation to use an antibiotic in combination with a taxol derivative to treat cancer.

Loshak teaches the treatment of breast cancer in women with a combination therapy using taxol with doxorubicin (first para.).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in this art would have found applicant's chemotherapeutic combination comprising 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol and doxorubicin to have been obvious at the time the invention was made having the above references before him because Bissery teaches the antitumor compositions comprising taxol derivatives in combination with an anthracycline antibiotic. Loshak teaches the use of doxorubicin in combination with taxol in the treatment of breast cancer and like Bissery provides motivation to use an antibiotic in combination with taxol derivative to treat cancer (col. 4, lines 46-51).

Art Unit: 1623

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bissery, U.S. Patent 5,908,835 in view of Loshak (XP-002222026: DG Dispatch-ECCO, Sept. 17,1999).

Claim 17 is drawn to a method for chemotherapeutic treatment of cancer comprising the administration of a chemotherapeutic combination of 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol and doxorubicin and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Bissery teaches antitumor compositions comprising taxol derivatives in combination with an anthracycline antibiotic (see abstract). Bissery discloses taxol derivatives and an anthracycline antibiotic combination as the active agents for treating breast, ovarian and lung cancers (see col. 4, lines 46-51). Bissery also discloses in col. 4, lines 37-45, modes of administration, which render the instant methods obvious. The applicant's use of 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol is rendered obvious because the taxol, taxotere and their analogues and 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol have the same core structures (see applicant's figures 2 A, B and C) and the skilled artisan would expect these compounds to have similar properties. Bissery differs from the applicant's invention that Bissery does not provide an explicit example of a composition comprising 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol in combination with doxorubicin, however Bissery

Art Unit: 1623

does provide motivation to use an antibiotic in combination with taxol derivative to treat

cancer.

Loshak teaches the treatment of breast cancer in women with a combination therapy

using taxol with doxorubicin (first para.).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in this art would have found applicant's method for chemotherapeutic treatment of cancer comprising the administration of a chemotherapeutic combination of 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol and doxorubicin to have been obvious at the time the invention was made having the above references before him because Bissery teaches the antitumor compositions comprising taxol derivatives in combination with an anthracycline antibiotic. Loshak teaches the use of doxorubicin in combination with taxol in the treatment of breast cancer and like Bissery provides motivation to use an antibiotic in combination with taxol derivative to treat

.

cancer (col. 4, lines 46-51).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (571) 272-0653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at (571)272-0661. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242.

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D., JD(3Y). Art Unit 1623

SÚPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER April 7, 2004 **ECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**